

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,876	07/23/2001	Bernhard Scheuble	MERCK-1342 D1	5038	
23599	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER		
•	WHITE, ZELANO & BR	DUONG, TAI V			
2200 CLARI SUITE 1400	ENDON BLVD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	0		
Office Action Summary		09/909,87	6	SCHEUBLE ET AI	L.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tai Duong	_	2871			
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply</li> </ul>	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>11 May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	o) This action is n	on-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except	for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 16,19-21,28,29,31 and 34-39 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>34,37 and 38</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16,19,20,28,29,31,35,36 and 39</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority d			)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d						
	3. Copies of the certified copies o			ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the Internation						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	tie)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
•							

Application/Control Number: 09/909,876

**Art Unit: 2871** 

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 16, 19, 20, 28, 29, 31, 35, 36 and 39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,327,010.

Claims 16, 19, 20, 28, 29 and 31 stand rejected for the same reasons set forth in the last Office action.

Claims 35, 36 and 39 stand rejected for the same reasons set forth on page 2 of the Office action mailed 11/30/04 of the parent application 07/828,904.

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 is allowable because none of the prior art of record discloses or suggests the combination of an electrooptical system having a structure as recited in claim 19 with the twist angle / being essentially 0 degree.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,876

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 34, 37 and 38 are allowed because none of the prior art discloses or suggests a twisted nematic layer having a parallel edge alignment and a twist angle of  $0^{\circ} \le / 0 \le 100^{\circ}$  that satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3) or (4) and one or more compensation layers being based on a twisted nematic liquid crystal, the twist angle of one or more compensation layers having essentially the same absolute value but the opposite rotational sense as / 0, and the director of the LC molecules and the optical axes of the one or more compensation layers forming an angle of  $30^{\circ}$  to  $150^{\circ}$  at the surfaces of the second substrate.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,876

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

ROBERT H. KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

TVD

07/05